

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 ORACLE USA, INC.; a Colorado corporation;
6 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware
corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a California corporation,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;
10 and SETH RAVIN, an individual,

11 Defendants.
12
13

Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-VCF

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE:
ORACLE’S MOTION FOR
SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO
FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL
PROCEDURE 11, 28 U.S.C. § 1927,
AND THE COURT’S INHERENT
AUTHORITY**

14 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

15 Upon consideration of Oracle’s MOTION FOR SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO
16 FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 11, 28 U.S.C. § 1927, AND THE COURT’S
17 INHERENT AUTHORITY (“Oracle’s Motion for Sanctions”), the Court finds:

18 Rimini’s April 2, 2020, “Motion to Enforce the Court’s Orders and Judgment
19 Separating Rimini I from Rimini II” (ECF No. 1323) (“Rimini’s Motion”) is facially
20 meritless, as duplicative of Rimini’s prior arguments in its (1) Opposition to Oracle’s First
21 Injunction Motion (ECF Nos. 905 and 1040), (2) Objections to Oracle’s Proposed Orders
22 (ECF No. 1055), (3) Opposition to Oracle’s Renewed Injunction Motion (ECF No. 1130), (4)
23 Emergency Motion to Stay filed in this Court (ECF No. 1168), (5) Emergency Motion to Stay
24 filed in the Ninth Circuit (Oracle USA, Inc. v. Rimini Street, Inc., Case No. 18-16554 (9th
25 Cir.) (“2018 Appeal”) Dkt. 4-1), (6) briefing to and oral argument before to the Ninth Circuit
26 (2018 Appeal Dkt. 12; Dkt. 46, Dkt. 53; Oral Argument Recording *available at*
27
28

1 https://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/media/view.php?pk_id=0000034266), and (7) Opposition to
2 Oracle's motion for injunction compliance discovery (ECF No. 1209);

3 Rimini's Motion is not warranted by either existing law or a nonfrivolous argument
4 for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law or for establishing new law; Rimini's
5 Motion instead vexatiously and needlessly has caused delay and increased cost for this
6 litigation;

7 Rimini's Motion was signed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11(a) by
8 Rimini's counsel of record, Eric D. Vandeveld, of the firm Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP;

9 Rimini's counsel of record, Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, LLP, including Mr.
10 Vandeveld, was served with Oracle's Motion for Sanctions and provided a 21 day safe
11 harbor period to withdraw Rimini's Motion; and

12 Rimini did not withdraw its motion.

13 **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED** that:

14 Rimini's Motion is struck;

15 Rimini and Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP are jointly obligated to pay Oracle's
16 attorneys' fees and costs associated with Oracle's Opposition to Rimini's Motion, Oracle's
17 Motion for Sanctions, and all associated filings, including declarations, motions to seal, and
18 replies (if any); and

19 Rimini and its counsel of record from the law firm of Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP
20 are hereby reprimanded.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

22
23
24 _____
Hon. Larry R. Hicks
United States District Judge

25
26 Dated: __May_____, 2020
27
28